Internal State Language Production in Storybook versus Personal Narratives in TD Children and Children with ASD



Introduction

- Challenges on narrative tasks have been linked to the social communication challenges routinely experienced by those with ASD^{1,2}
- Studies have found that narratives of school-age children with ASD are less likely to include internal state language (ISL) that refer to emotional (e.g., "happy")³ and/or cognitive (e.g., "think")⁴ states, as compared to TD peers
- However, most of the studies conducted have relied solely on storybook narratives, which may not be appropriate for teens; personal narratives may be more socially relevant for this population
- Within personal narratives, children with ASD have demonstrated differences in structural language compared to TD peers (e.g., shorter MLUs), while these differences did not show up within storybook narratives⁵
- However, the use of ISL within these two contexts has not been examined

Objectives

- 1. Determine if challenges with producing ISL exist in *teens* with ASD
- 2. Assess whether different narrative contexts (i.e., storybook vs. personal) affect ISL production in teens with ASD

# word tokens: Storybook > Personal both groups								
	TD	ASD	<i>p-</i> value					
Storybook M(SD)	261.3 (80.2)	195.2 (74.1)	0.042					
Personal M(SD)	105.6 (75.1)	61.0 (31.8)	0.066	IS IS				
<i>p-</i> value	< 0.001	< 0.001		of				
MLU: Storybook = Personal								
	TD	ASD	<i>p-</i> value	Pro				
Storybook M(SD)	7.7 (4.2)	5.1 (1.8)	0.061					
Personal M(SD)	6.5 (2.4)	4.4 (1.3)	0.014					
<i>p</i> -value	0.080	0.197						

- These preliminary findings support the idea that language production varies by context
- the ASD group

Juandiego Carmona, Cynthia Boo, & Letitia Naigles University of Connecticut

uandiego.carmona@uconn.edu

Rati (M:F CELF Wo Class

(AE year Eng

Results



• Overall, teens from both groups produced fewer ISL terms in the context of storybook narratives than personal narratives • While storybook narratives yielded more words and longer MLUs, personal narratives elicited more ISL from both groups even with overall lower language abilities in

• Thus, when assessing ISL production, researchers should consider the ability of the narrative prompt to elicit these terms

Methods

	TD* (n = 15)	ASD* (n = 12)	t	<i>p-</i> value	Cohen's D	 Storyboo picture b Personal promptin you tell m somethin
Mean Age Years (SD)	14.8 (3.0)	15.3 (3.3)	-0.438	.665	-0.170	
Sex Ratio (M:F)	10:5	11:1				 Coding of Total # Total #
CELF-IV Word Classes (AE in years)	17,7	10,4	3.249	.005	-1.39	and comanu manu • Prelim betwe collap
English From L	n primary la SEL; match	anguage for ned on lang	r all teens guage at oi	nset ⁶		 Propor the tot

1.	Capps, L., Losh, M., & Th Abnormal Child Psycholo
2.	Rumpf, A. L., Kamp-Beck syndrome and ADHD. Re
3.	Tager-Flusberg, H. (1992 Development, 63: 161-1
4.	Siller, M., Swanson, M. R autism spectrum disorde
5.	Losh, M., Capps, L. (200 https://doi.org/10.1023
6.	Naigles, L. & Fein, D. (20 investigations of language
7. 8.	Peterson, C., McCabe, A. MacWhinney, B. (2000).

We thank all the teens, and their families who participated in this research. This research was funded by the National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders (NIHDCD R01DC016665 'Early Predictors to School Age Language: Individual and Interactional Child and Parent Factors')



hk narratives elicited using *Tuesday* wordless ook

narratives elicited with the investigator g teen to narrate specific events (e.g. "Can ne about a time you got jabbed by וg?")

narratives:

word tokens extracted via CLAN

of ISL tokens (emotional adjectives & verbs, ognitive adjectives & verbs) extracted

ally via CLAN

inary analyses revealed no differences en ISL tokens individually, so ISL tokens were sed into one single ISL measure

rtions of ISL tokens were calculated out of tal number of word tokens⁸

• No significant group differences emerged for ISL (ps > 0.05)

Analysis for covariance

• While accounting for CELF, Word Classes, a mixed-design ANCOVA, with a between-factor of group and within-factor of narrative context,

revealed a significant effect of narrative context on ISL tokens across groups, F(1, 23) = 4.894, p = 0.037, $\eta p^2 = 0.175$

Post hoc analyses: Personal > Storybook, p = 0.021

References & Acknowledgements

nurber, C. (2000). "The frog ate a bug and made his mouth sad": Narrative competence in children with autism. Journal of ogy, 28, 193–204. er, I., Becker, K., & Kauschke, C. (2012). Narrative competence and internal state language of children with Asperger earch in Developmental Disabilities, 33, 1395–1407. Autistic Children's Talk about Psychological States: Deficits in the Early Acquisition of a Theory of Mind. Child

. Serlin, G., and Teachworth, A. G. (2014). Internal state language in the storybook narratives of children with and without nvestigating relations to theory of mind abilities. Res. Autism Spectr. Disord. 8, 589–596. Narrative Ability in High-Functioning Children with Autism or Asperger's Syndrome. J Autism Dev Disord 33, 239–251.

1024446215446 7). Looking through their eyes: Tracking early language comprehension in ASD. In L. R. Naigles (Ed.), *Innovative* e in autism spectrum disorder (pp.49-64). American Psychological Association; Walter de Gruyter GmbH. Developmental psycholinguistics: Three ways of looking at a child's narrative. New York: Plenum; 1983. The CHILDES Project: Tools for Analyzing Talk. 3rd Edition. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.